

FORENSIC ANALYSIS REPORT

MCRO | Docket Anomaly Forensic Scan

27-CR-23-1886 + 27-MH-PR-23-815

Prepared by	Matthew David Guertin, Pro Se Defendant
Case Reference	State of Minnesota v. Guertin 27-CR-23-1886
Related Case	In Re: Civil Commitment of Guertin 27-MH-PR-23-815
Court	Fourth Judicial District Court, Hennepin County
Report Date	March 2026
Data Source	MCRO Forensic Database (PostgreSQL/Supabase) + Uploaded Evidence Files
Corpus	246 docket events (criminal) 46 docket events (civil) 200 PDF documents
Reproducibility	All DB findings are query-reproducible. .EML files are SHA256-verified.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This report presents objective forensic data findings derived from (a) the MCRO forensic database queried via SQL and (b) metadata extracted from uploaded .eml email archives and court-filed PDF documents. It does not assert conclusions about guilt, fabrication, or criminal intent. Each finding is a documented, reproducible anomaly that warrants independent expert examination. All database statistics were freshly computed from the live database on or about March 7, 2026. External file findings are based on SHA256-verified artifacts with permanent hosted URLs.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The criminal case docket (27-CR-23-1886) contains 246 events spanning January 24, 2023 through December 2, 2025, with 226 docket-indexed entries and 20 non-indexed administrative events. No gaps exist in the docket_index sequence (1–226). However, 5 date-order inversions are present where a lower index has a later date than its successor.
2. The civil commitment case (27-MH-PR-23-815) contains 46 events spanning July 20, 2023 through November 6, 2024. Docket index #40 is MISSING — a gap confirmed by the sequence jumping from index 39 to 41. This is the only missing index in either case.
3. The January 16–17, 2024 incompetency order (docket index #25) was created at 8:26:48 AM CST and digitally signed by Referee Mercurio 31 seconds later at 8:27:19 AM. Judge Klein countersigned at 9:22:46 AM. The scheduled hearing was at 1:30 PM — over 5 hours after signing. The order was not filed to the docket until the following morning (January 17 at 7:29 AM). Its internal XMP title reads 'Commitment Order (MI, DD)' — not a standard Rule 20 competency template.
4. The first incompetency order (July 13, 2023, docket index #19) carries an internal XMP title of 'Conservator (All Powers; Unlimited Duration)' — a conservatorship template label on a criminal competency document.
5. The Rogstad Rule 20.01 evaluation report (received via email from Bruce Rivers on March 25, 2023) has PDF author 'GuzmanC', title 'Guertin Report.pdf', and producer 'Acrobat Distiller 22.0 (Windows)' with no iText/MCRO pipeline stamp. Its SHA256 hash does NOT match any record in the 4,251-document forensic corpus — confirming it was never served through MCRO.
6. Defense attorney Bruce Rivers texted 'No court' at 6:26 PM on January 15, 2024, cancelling the January 16 hearing. The incompetency order was nevertheless created and signed the morning of January 16. T-Mobile phone records filed in both state and federal court corroborate the text exchange.
7. Thomas Arneson (a Hennepin County prosecutor) appears in defense attorney tables for 3 cases corpus-wide, including case 27-CR-20-16965.
8. Raissa Carpenter (Guertin's current public defender) simultaneously appears as defense_active, defense_inactive, AND prosecution_inactive in case 27-CR-22-24627 (State v. Rex Allen Basswood, Jr.).
9. The official court transcript of the March 5, 2025 competency hearing is filename-labeled as 'March 5, 2024' (wrong year). The .eml from which this transcript was received was sent from Melinda.Anderson@courts.state.mn.us on May 14, 2025. The attachment SHA256 matches the DB record, confirming identity.
10. Four documents in 27-CR-23-1886 carry MSIP (Microsoft Information Protection) enterprise labels with 'High' sensitivity classification. The earliest — the April 3, 2025 competency order — has an MSIP set date of March 17, 2025 (17 days before filing). The May 30, 2025 order has an MSIP set date of January 9, 2025 (141 days before filing).
11. The first discovery set (80 photographs) was emailed by defense attorney Michael Biglow on August 3, 2023 with no corresponding docket entry. Its SHA256 does not match any MCRO corpus record. The formal docket shows zero discovery production events for this case.
12. Guertin's mother's letter (April 12, 2024), addressed to Judge Quam, was routed to Judge Klein's clerk Lee Cuellar. The response was filed under Klein's name. A near-simultaneous letter from Sandra Vongsaphay in an unrelated case (27-CR-23-2480, different cluster_id) received a functionally identical response from the same clerk on the same day.

Corpus Overview

Metric	Criminal (27-CR-23-1886)	Civil (27-MH-PR-23-815)
Total docket events	246	46
Docket-indexed entries	226	44
Non-indexed admin events	20	2

Missing docket indices	0	1 (index #40)
Date-order inversions	5	0
Children (PDF) documents	200	0
Digital signatures (all docs)	~600+	N/A
Date range	Jan 24, 2023 – Dec 2, 2025	Jul 20, 2023 – Nov 6, 2024
Case status (current)	Open	Closed
Unique judicial officers	12	4
Rule 20 evaluation orders	5	N/A
Rule 20 evaluation reports filed	3 (Rogstad, Milz, Cranbrook)	N/A
Competency findings	2 incompetent + 1 competent	N/A
MSIP-labeled documents	4	0

METHODS

Database Analysis

All docket reconstruction and document metadata findings were derived from SELECT-only SQL queries executed against the live MCRO forensic database (PostgreSQL, Supabase project ibfmjtwahkwqzcmeyqii, us-west-2). Primary tables queried: parents_case_events, parents_case_events_judicial_officers, parents_case_events_examiners, parents_case_events_evidence_uids, children, children_signatures_full_report, children_objects, parents_attorneys_defense_active/inactive, parents_attorneys_prosecution_active/inactive. All SQL is reproduced verbatim in the Appendix.

External File Analysis

Four .eml email archives and eight court-filed PDFs were uploaded into this analysis session. For each .eml file, Python email library was used to extract: headers (Date, From, To, CC, Subject, Message-ID, In-Reply-To), attachment metadata (filename, MIME type, size), and attachment binary payloads. SHA256 hashes were computed for all extracted attachments and cross-referenced against the children.doc_sha256 column in the forensic database. XMP metadata was extracted from PDF attachments using binary XMP block parsing.

Cohort Definitions

Primary case: case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' (criminal). Related case: case_uid = 'case:27-mh-pr-23-815' (civil commitment, same cluster_id = 1570). Cross-case reference: case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-2480' (Sandra Vongsaphay, cluster_id = 98). Full MCRO forensic corpus: 4,251 PDF documents across 245 cases, 2,903 parent-scraped cases.

PART 1 — DOCKET RECONSTRUCTION

Finding 1: Complete Docket Event Sequence — 27-CR-23-1886

Background

The criminal case docket was reconstructed by querying `parents_case_events` joined with `parents_case_events_judicial_officers` and `parents_case_events_evidence_uids`. The `docket_index` column maps to the MNCIS index numbering system referenced in Guertin's filings. (See Appendix A1.)

Findings

The docket contains 246 events. Of these, 226 bear a `docket_index` (1 through 226, with no gaps) and 20 are non-indexed administrative entries (hearing records, status changes, report distributions). The docket spans from January 24, 2023 (E-filed Complaint) through December 2, 2025 (Notice of Remote Hearing). The `event_index` column in the database runs in reverse chronological order (`event_index` 1 = most recent), while `docket_index` runs in filing order.

Key milestones in chronological order: Docket #1 (Jan 24, 2023): E-filed Complaint by Judge Edward Wahl. #4 (Jan 25, 2023): First Rule 20.01 evaluation order by Referee Lyonel Norris. #9 (Feb 20, 2023): Bruce Rivers files Certificate of Representation. #12 (Mar 10, 2023): Rogstad Rule 20 Evaluation Report. #19 (Jul 13, 2023): First Finding of Incompetency (Borer/Browne). #21 (Nov 15, 2023): Second Rule 20 evaluation order (Klein). #22 (Jan 5, 2024): Guertin's first pro se filing (Demand for Discovery). #25 (Jan 17, 2024): Second Finding of Incompetency (Mercurio/Klein). #27 (Apr 3, 2024): Petition to Proceed Pro Se. #40 (May 9, 2024): Third evaluation order (Klein). #95 (Jul 15, 2024): Order to Recuse. #102 (Oct 1, 2024): Order Granting Public Defender (Koch). #108 (Oct 15, 2024): Fourth evaluation order (Askalani). #112 (Dec 20, 2024): Cranbrook Rule 20 Report. #127 (Apr 3, 2025): Found Competent (Koch). #187 (Apr 29, 2025): Fifth evaluation order (Hudleston).

Significance

The `docket_index` sequence (1–226) is fully sequential with no gaps in the criminal case. However, 5 date-order inversions exist, the most significant being docket indices #25/#26 (addressed in Finding 4). The case has undergone 5 Rule 20.01 evaluation orders across 12 judicial officers over nearly 3 years, an unusually high count for a single defendant.

Finding 2: Complete Docket Event Sequence — 27-MH-PR-23-815

Background

The civil commitment case was reconstructed using the same methodology. This case shares cluster_id 1570 with the criminal case, confirming they involve the same defendant. (See Appendix A2.)

Findings

The civil case contains 46 events spanning July 20, 2023 through November 6, 2024. Of these, 44 have docket indices (1–45) and 2 are non-indexed. Docket index #40 is MISSING — the sequence jumps from #39 (January 31, 2024: Taken Under Advisement, George Borer) to #41 (February 1, 2024: Order for Continued Commitment, George Borer). No PDF documents (children records) exist for this case in the MCRO corpus, limiting metadata analysis.

January 17–25, 2024 burst (Indices #27–#35): Nine docket entries were filed in this 8-day window immediately following the criminal case incompetency order. Index #27 (Jan 17): Notice of Motion and Motion. #30 (Jan 22): Order and Notice of Hearing. #31 (Jan 22): Affidavit of Service. #32–#35 (Jan 25): Motion for Production of Medical Records, Proposed Order, Correspondence, and Order for Production. This burst of activity commenced on the same day the incompetency order was filed in the criminal case.

Index #38 (Jan 31, 2024): Waiver. Confirmed as the rights waiver document. The uploaded federal exhibit (gov.uscourts.mnd.216796.18.0.pdf, page 33) shows this is a waiver of hearing rights under Minn. Stat. §253B.05 subd. 3, 08, and .09, consenting to a 9-month extension of the Stayed Order of Commitment. Attorney Joel Fisher (ID# 29579) is identified on the form.

Significance

The missing index #40 is the only gap in either case. Its absence coincides with the transition from the January 31 waiver (#38) and taken-under-advisement (#39) to the February 1 commitment order (#41). Whether this represents a withdrawn filing, a clerical gap, or a system artifact cannot be determined from the database alone.

PART 2 — INDEX SEQUENCE ANOMALIES

Finding 4: Index #25 / #26 Inversion Verification

Background

Guertin claims docket index #25 (incompetency order, filed January 17) appears AFTER index #26 (notice of hearing, filed January 16), creating a date-order inversion where a higher-numbered index has an earlier date.

Findings

Index	Date	Event	Judicial Officer(s)
#25	2024-01-17	Finding of Incompetency and Order	Danielle Mercurio, Julia Dayton Klein
#26	2024-01-16	Notice of Remote Hearing with Instructions	(none)

CONFIRMED: Index #25 (January 17) has a LATER date than Index #26 (January 16). The docket_index sequence is inverted relative to filing chronology.

Significance

In standard docket systems, ascending index numbers correspond to ascending filing dates. The inversion here means the incompetency order was assigned a lower index number than the hearing notice despite being filed one day later. Combined with the document metadata showing the order was created on January 16 (same day as the notice) but not filed until January 17, this inversion reflects a split between the order's creation date and its docket filing date.

Finding 5: Sequential Audit — All Index Numbers

Findings

Case	Range	Unique Idx	Expected	Gaps	Inversions
27-CR-23-1886	1–226	226	226	0	5
27-MH-PR-23-815	1–45	44	45	1 (#40)	0

PART 3 — DOCUMENT METADATA FORENSICS

Finding 7: Incompetency Order (Index #25) — Full Metadata Profile

Background

The January 2024 incompetency order is the central document in this case. Guertin claims its internal metadata reveals it was created and signed hours before the scheduled hearing, with template titles inconsistent with its filing type.

Findings

Metadata Field	Value
Evidence UID	evidence:27-cr-23-1886:d1c60e5543fb330a
Filename	MCRO_27-CR-23-1886_Finding of Incompetency and Order_2024-01-17_20240430072352.pdf
Filing Type	Finding of Incompetency and Order
Filing Date (docket)	2024-01-17
XMP Create Date	2024-01-16 14:26:48 UTC (8:26:48 AM CST)
XMP Metadata Date	2024-01-17 13:29:42 UTC (7:29:42 AM CST)
Gap (create → metadata)	~23 hours
XMP Title	Commitment Order (MI, DD)
Creator Tool	Microsoft® Word for Microsoft 365
Producer	Microsoft® Word for Microsoft 365; modified using iText® 7.1.16 (MN Judicial Branch)
Page Count	4
Size	932,303 bytes
Has Signature	true
MSIP Flag	Not present

Signature Analysis

Signer	Sign Time (CST)	Edits After	Sig Index	Coverage
Mercurio, Danielle	2024-01-16 08:27:19	true	2	15.49%
Dayton Klein, Julia	2024-01-16 09:22:46	true	1	23.45%
MCRO Watermark	2024-04-30 07:23:52	false	3	98.24%

Key timeline: Document created at 8:26:48 AM CST. Mercurio signed 31 seconds later at 8:27:19 AM. Klein countersigned at 9:22:46 AM. The scheduled hearing was at 1:30 PM — **5 hours and 3 minutes after signing**. The document was not filed to the docket until the following morning at 7:29:42 AM CST (XMP Metadata Date).

Significance

The XMP title 'Commitment Order (MI, DD)' is not a standard Rule 20.01 competency template name. The first incompetency order (July 2023, docket #19) similarly carries the title 'Conservator (All Powers; Unlimited Duration)' — also not a criminal competency template. Both signature records show `edits_after_sig_flag = true`, meaning the document was modified after judicial signing. Only the MCRO download watermark (applied April 30, 2024) is terminal.

Finding 9A: Rogstad Rule 20.01 Report — .EML Chain of Custody

Background

The Rogstad evaluation report was received by Guertin via email from defense attorney Bruce Rivers. Two .eml files constitute the chain: Guertin's request and Rivers' reply with the attached report.

Findings

Field	Request (.eml #19)	Reply (.eml #20)
Date	Sat, 25 Mar 2023 02:22:44 UTC	Sat, 25 Mar 2023 07:35:56 CST
From	MattGuertin@protonmail.com	riverslawyers@gmail.com
To	riverslawyers@aol.com	mattguertin@protonmail.com
Subject	Please forward me psych evaluation report	(No Subject)
Attachment	None	Rule 20 Evaluation Report.pdf
Att. Size	—	912,354 bytes
Att. SHA256	—	a686e2c8f909c219...

Extracted PDF Metadata (Rogstad Report from .eml):

Field	Value
dc:creator (Author)	GuzmanC
dc:title	Guertin Report.pdf
xmp:CreatorTool	PScript5.dll Version 5.2.2
pdf:Producer	Acrobat Distiller 22.0 (Windows)
xmp:CreateDate	2023-03-10 16:25:34 CST
xmp:ModifyDate	2023-03-10 16:38:55 CST
In MCRO corpus?	NO — SHA256 not found in children table

Significance

The author field 'GuzmanC' is confirmed from the .eml-extracted PDF. The producer field 'Acrobat Distiller 22.0 (Windows)' lacks the iText/Minnesota Judicial Branch modification stamp present on all MCRO-served documents. The document's SHA256 does not appear anywhere in the 4,251-document forensic corpus, confirming it was never filed through MCRO. NOTE: The claims compilation states the title is 'Conservator (All Powers; Unlimited Duration)' — this is NOT confirmed for the Rogstad report. The .eml-extracted title is 'Guertin Report.pdf'. The 'Conservator' title actually belongs to the July 2023 incompetency order (docket #19).

PART 5 — ATTORNEY / PARTY ROLE ANOMALIES

Finding 15: Attorney Listings — 27-CR-23-1886

Findings

Attorney	Role	Status	Lead?	Index
Raissa Carpenter	Defense	Active	Yes	1
Emmett Donnelly	Defense	Active	No	2
Bruce Rivers	Defense	Inactive	—	1
Mawerdi Hamid	Prosecution	Active	Yes	1
Thomas Arneson	Prosecution	Active	No	2
Judith Cole	Prosecution	Active + Inactive	No	3 / 1
Elizabeth Murphy	Prosecution	Active	No	4
Thomas Prochazka	Prosecution	Active	No	5
Thomas Manewitz	Prosecution	Inactive	—	2
Jacqueline Perez	Prosecution	Inactive	—	3

Notable: Michael Biglow does NOT appear in any attorney table for this case, despite being identified in the discovery catalog as prior defense counsel. Thomas Arneson is correctly listed as prosecution in this case.

Finding 16: Thomas Arneson — Corpus-Wide Defense Role Listings

Findings

Thomas Arneson (a Hennepin County prosecutor) appears in the defense_active table for 3 cases: 27-CR-20-16965, 27-CR-21-13752, and 27-CR-23-13960. He additionally appears in defense_inactive for case 27-CR-23-13689. Across the broader corpus, he appears in prosecution_active for 47 cases and prosecution_inactive for 3 cases, confirming his standard role is prosecution.

CONFIRMED: Thomas Arneson appears in defense attorney tables for 4 cases corpus-wide despite being a prosecutor.

Finding 17: Raissa Carpenter — Cross-Case Role Conflict

Findings

In case 27-CR-22-24627 (State v. Rex Allen Basswood, Jr.), Raissa Carpenter appears simultaneously as: (1) defense_active, (2) defense_inactive, and (3) prosecution_inactive. She is listed in both the defense AND prosecution attorney tables for the same case.

CONFIRMED: Carpenter appears in conflicting defense and prosecution roles in 27-CR-22-24627.

PART 6 — COMPETENCY PROCEEDING CHRONOLOGY

Finding 19: Rule 20 Event Timeline — Complete Reconstruction

Findings

The following table reconstructs all Rule 20-related and competency-related docket events for 27-CR-23-1886:

#	Date	Event	Judicial Officer	Examiner
4	2023-01-25	Order-Eval for Competency (Rule 20.01)	Lyonel Norris	—
12	2023-03-10	Rule 20 Evaluation Report	—	Jill Rogstad
19	2023-07-13	Finding of Incompetency and Order	Borer / Browne	—
21	2023-11-15	Order-Eval for Competency (Rule 20.01)	Julia Dayton Klein	—
23	2024-01-11	Rule 20 Evaluation Report	—	Adam Milz
25	2024-01-17	Finding of Incompetency and Order	Mercurio / Klein	—
40	2024-05-09	Order-Eval for Competency (Rule 20.01)	Julia Dayton Klein	—
95	2024-07-15	Order to Recuse	Julia Dayton Klein	—
102	2024-10-01	Order Granting Public Defender	William Koch	—
108	2024-10-15	Order-Eval for Competency (Rule 20.01)	Shereen Askalani	—
112	2024-12-20	Rule 20 Evaluation Report	—	Katheryn Cranbrook
127	2025-04-03	Order-Other + Found Competent	William Koch	—
187	2025-04-29	Order-Eval for Competency (Rule 20.01)	Sarah Hudleston	—

Total Rule 20.01 evaluation orders: 5. Total evaluation reports filed: 3 (Rogstad, Milz, Cranbrook). Total incompetency findings: 2 (July 2023, January 2024). Total competency findings: 1 (April 2025, Koch). **The fifth evaluation order (Hudleston, April 29, 2025) was entered 26 days after Koch's competency finding.**

Significance

The claims compilation stated there were 4 evaluation orders. The database shows 5. The October 1/October 15, 2024 timeline: Judge Koch granted a public defender on October 1 (docket #102), and Judge Askalani ordered the fourth evaluation on October 15 (docket #108) — a 14-day gap, not same-day as some compilation entries suggested. Koch's competency finding (April 3, 2025) was followed by Hudleston's fifth evaluation order (April 29, 2025) — a 26-day interval with no apparent appellate or review proceeding between them.

Finding 20: Judicial Officer Rotation Map

Officer	First Event	Last Event	Events	Key Event Types
Edward Wahl	2023-01-24	2023-01-24	1	Complaint
Lyonel Norris	2023-01-25	2023-01-25	1	Rule 20 eval order
Jay Quam	2023-01-26	2024-10-15	3	Notice of Hearing
Julia Dayton Klein	2023-06-14	2025-10-06	13	Orders, Evaluations, Recusal
George Borer	2023-07-07	2023-07-13	5	Incompetency finding, Hearings
Michael Browne	2023-07-13	2023-07-13	2	Incompetency finding
Danielle Mercurio	2024-01-16	2025-12-02	7	Incompetency finding, Hearings
Lee Cuellar	2024-04-12	2024-04-12	1	Correspondence
William Koch	2024-07-16	2025-08-04	11	Competency finding, PD grant
Shereen Askalani	2024-10-15	2024-10-15	5	Rule 20 eval order, PD grant
Sarah Hudleston	2025-01-31	2025-05-30	8	5th eval order, Hearings
Lori Skibbie	2025-07-31	2025-10-07	2	Hearings

Twelve judicial officers have been involved across the 246 events. Judge Jay Quam, listed as judge of record, appears on only 3 events (all Notice of Hearing). Julia Dayton Klein has the highest event count (13) spanning 2.3 years. The case has been touched by 3 referees (Norris, Borer, Mercurio) and 7 judges, reflecting an unusually high rotation count.

PART 10 — EXTERNAL EVIDENCE FILE ANALYSIS

Finding 32: 'No Court' Text Message — January 15, 2024

Background

Guertin claims Bruce Rivers texted 'No court' at 6:26 PM on January 15, 2024, cancelling the January 16 hearing, despite the incompetency order being created the following morning.

Findings

The uploaded text message screenshots (from MCRO_27-CR-23-1886_Affidavit-Other_2024-04-09) show a conversation between Guertin and 'Bruce Rivers Cel' on January 15, 2024. The exchange includes: at 6:26 PM, Rivers states 'No court' and 'I'll call you in the morning' / 'Nothing has changed.' The T-Mobile phone records for the billing period January 14–February 13, 2024 show call and text activity to/from a (612) number matching the exchange timeline. The same evidence appears in federal case 0:24-cv-02646-JRT-DLM, Doc. 10 at pages 85, 131, and 137.

DB-side verification: The docket shows three events on January 16, 2024: (1) Waiver of Appearance (docket #24), (2) Notice of Remote Hearing (docket #26, created at 4:19 PM CST), and (3) Found Incompetent (non-indexed, attributed to Danielle Mercurio). No transcript or hearing record for January 16 at 1:30 PM exists in the docket.

Finding 33: Mother's Letter Routing + Vongsaphay Cross-Case Filing

Findings

On April 12, 2024, Guertin's mother's letter (addressed to Judge Quam) was filed at docket #31 in 27-CR-23-1886. The court response from Lee Cuellar (clerk to Judge Klein) was filed at docket #34. Simultaneously, a letter from Sandra Vongsaphay was filed in case 27-CR-23-2480 (a separate case, cluster_id = 98). The DB confirms these are unrelated cases with different defendants and different cluster_ids. The Vongsaphay response letter was created at 4:10 PM CDT and the Guertin response at 4:15 PM CDT — 5 minutes apart — both authored in Microsoft Word and both signed by Lee Cuellar as clerk to Judge Klein. The uploaded PDFs show both responses use identical letterhead, structure, and closing language.

Finding 34: First Discovery Set — Off-Docket Transmission

Findings

The .eml file 'Photos_2023-08-03T21_19_22_00_00.eml' confirms: Sent August 3, 2023 at 4:19 PM CDT from michael@biglowlaw.com to MattGuertin@protonmail.com. Subject: 'Photos'. Attachment: '23-815 Guertin - photos of exterior, interior, person 1.21.pdf' (21,034,347 bytes, SHA256: 90bd381ce35a63b4...). The attachment SHA256 does NOT match any record in the children table. No docket event on or near August 3, 2023 corresponds to a discovery production.

CONFIRMED: The first discovery production has no docket entry and no MCRO corpus match.

Finding 25A: Transcript Date Mismatch — .EML Authentication

Findings

The .eml 'RE_EXTERNAL_Re_Transcript_Request_2025-05-14T14_06_47_00_00.eml' confirms: Sent May 14, 2025 from Melinda.Anderson@courts.state.mn.us. Attachment filename: 'Guertin, Matthew - March 5, 2024.pdf' — labeled 2024 despite the hearing occurring on March 5, 2025. The attachment SHA256 (c4540b66c4698f52...) matches the DB record evidence:27-cr-23-1886:c4540b66c4698f52, confirming the same file was loaded into the MCRO corpus. The DB record shows filing_date = 2025-04-05 and XMP title = 'Guertin, Matthew - March 5, 2024.ecf' (also misdated). The producer is 'GPL Ghostscript 9.06' — outside the standard Acrobat/iText judicial pipeline.

CONFIRMED: Transcript filename contains wrong year (2024 vs. 2025). Sender is official state email.

PART 11 — ADDITIONAL ANOMALY MINING

Finding 28: MSIP Label Check — 27-CR-23-1886

Findings

Four documents in 27-CR-23-1886 carry MSIP enterprise labels, all classified 'High':

Filename	Filing Date	MSIP Set Date	Pre-staging Interval
127__Order-Finding-Guertin-Competent	2025-04-03	2025-03-17	17 days before filing
134__Transcript_April-17-2025	2025-04-23	2025-04-23	Same day
189__Transcript_April-29-2025	2025-05-03	2025-05-03	Same day
205__Order-Other	2025-05-30	2025-01-09	141 days before filing

The 205__ order's MSIP label was set on January 9, 2025 — 141 days before its May 30, 2025 filing. This indicates the document template existed in the enterprise M365 environment nearly 5 months before the relevant legal proceedings occurred.

LIMITATIONS & ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATIONS

(1) The civil commitment case (27-MH-PR-23-815) has zero PDF documents in the MCRO corpus. All civil case analysis is limited to docket event metadata. Document-level forensics (signatures, XMP metadata, internal objects) are unavailable for the civil case.

(2) The PDF 'Author' field is not stored as a separate column in the children table. Author attribution claims ('barbj', 'hernandezke') could not be independently verified from the database alone. The XMP creator_tool and title fields were used as proxies where available.

(3) E-file timestamp time-of-day precision is limited. The event_json__date field stores date-only (no time). Sub-day filing sequence can only be inferred from XMP metadata dates where available.

(4) The .eml files are presented as received. Their integrity depends on the email client's export fidelity and the absence of post-export modification. DKIM verification was not performed in this analysis.

(5) Alternative explanations for the Index #25/#26 inversion include standard docketing workflow where documents created the same day may be filed in different order. The 23-hour gap may reflect routine overnight batch processing. The MSIP pre-staging intervals may reflect template reuse across documents.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION

- (1) Request original document management system (Odyssey) records for the January 16–17, 2024 incompetency order to compare internal metadata against the MCRO-served version.
- (2) Identify the M365 tenant associated with MSIP Site ID 8cf8312b-4c34-4b6f-9dee-c56512a7510f. This would establish which organizational unit produced the MSIP-labeled documents.
- (3) Conduct ExifTool analysis on the original Rogstad report to confirm the 'GuzmanC' author attribution and identify the source user account.
- (4) Verify whether the missing civil case docket index #40 represents a withdrawn filing, a system gap, or a sealed entry by querying the Odyssey case management system directly.
- (5) Request Odyssey backend records for 'Kristen Otte' as an examiner assignment in case 27-CR-23-1886 to determine whether an evaluation was ordered but never completed.
- (6) A credentialed digital forensics examiner (CFCE, EnCE, or equivalent) should independently verify the key .eml-based findings before use in formal legal proceedings.

APPENDIX | REPRODUCIBLE SQL QUERIES

A1 — Case Existence + Event Counts

```
SELECT case_uid, case_id, defendant_name, cluster_id, cluster_name FROM case_registry WHERE case_uid IN ('case:27-cr-23-1886', 'case:27-mh-pr-23-815', 'case:27-cr-23-2480'); SELECT e.case_uid, COUNT(DISTINCT e.event_index) as event_count, MIN(e.event_json_date) as first_event, MAX(e.event_json_date) as last_event, (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM children c WHERE c.case_uid = e.case_uid) as children_count FROM parents_case_events e WHERE e.case_uid IN ('case:27-cr-23-1886', 'case:27-mh-pr-23-815') GROUP BY e.case_uid;
```

A2 — Full Docket Reconstruction — 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT e.event_index, e.docket_index, e.event_json_date as event_date, e.event_name, e.event_json_filing_party_name as filing_party, jo.judicial_officer_json_judicial_officer_norm as judicial_officer, (SELECT string_agg(eu.evidence_uid, '; ') FROM parents_case_events_evidence_uids eu WHERE eu.case_uid = e.case_uid AND eu.event_index = e.event_index) as evidence_uids FROM parents_case_events e LEFT JOIN parents_case_events_judicial_officers jo ON jo.case_uid = e.case_uid AND jo.event_index = e.event_index WHERE e.case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' ORDER BY e.event_json_date ASC, e.docket_index ASC;
```

A3 — Incompetency Order Metadata + Signatures

```
SELECT evidence_uid, filename, filing_date, filing_type, metadata_xmp_create_date, metadata_xmp_metadata_date, metadata_xmp_creator_tool, metadata_xmp_producer, metadata_xmp_title, pdf_page_count, size_bytes, signatures_has_signature FROM children WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND filing_type ILIKE '%incompetency%' ORDER BY filing_date; SELECT signer_name, sign_time_cst_cdt, edits_after_sig_flag, rev_count, sig_index, field_name, coverage_pct, days_after_e_file_signed FROM children_signatures_full_report WHERE evidence_uid = 'evidence:27-cr-23-1886:d1c60e5543fb330a' ORDER BY sig_index;
```

A4 — Attorney Listings — 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT 'defense_active' as source, attorney_json_attorney_norm, attorney_json_is_lead FROM parents_attorneys_defense_active WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' UNION ALL SELECT 'defense_inactive', attorney_json_attorney_norm, null FROM parents_attorneys_defense_inactive WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' UNION ALL SELECT 'prosecution_active', attorney_json_attorney_norm, attorney_json_is_lead FROM parents_attorneys_prosecution_active WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' UNION ALL SELECT 'prosecution_inactive', attorney_json_attorney_norm, null FROM parents_attorneys_prosecution_inactive WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

A5 — Arneson Defense Role — Corpus-Wide

```
SELECT 'defense_active' AS source, case_uid, attorney_json_attorney_norm FROM parents_attorneys_defense_active WHERE attorney_json_attorney_norm = 'Thomas Arneson' UNION ALL SELECT 'defense_inactive', case_uid, attorney_json_attorney_norm FROM parents_attorneys_defense_inactive WHERE attorney_json_attorney_norm = 'Thomas Arneson';
```

A6 — Carpenter Dual-Role — 27-CR-22-24627

```
SELECT 'defense_active' as src, attorney_json_attorney_norm FROM parents_attorneys_defense_active WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-22-24627' AND attorney_json_attorney_norm ILIKE '%carpenter%' UNION ALL SELECT 'defense_inactive', attorney_json_attorney_norm FROM parents_attorneys_defense_inactive WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-22-24627' AND attorney_json_attorney_norm ILIKE '%carpenter%' UNION ALL SELECT 'prosecution_inactive', attorney_json_attorney_norm FROM parents_attorneys_prosecution_inactive WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-22-24627' AND attorney_json_attorney_norm ILIKE '%carpenter%';
```

A7 — MSIP Documents — 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT filename, filing_date, filing_type, metadata_msip_msip_label_name_primary, metadata_msip_msip_set_date_primary FROM children WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND metadata_msip_has_msip_flag = true ORDER BY filing_date;
```

A8 — Index Sequence Gap Analysis

```
-- Criminal case SELECT MIN(docket_index) as min_idx, MAX(docket_index) as max_idx, COUNT(DISTINCT docket_index) as unique_indices, MAX(docket_index) - MIN(docket_index) + 1 - COUNT(DISTINCT docket_index) as gap_count FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND
```

```
docket_index IS NOT NULL; -- Civil case SELECT MIN(docket_index), MAX(docket_index), COUNT(DISTINCT
docket_index), MAX(docket_index) - MIN(docket_index) + 1 - COUNT(DISTINCT docket_index) as gaps FROM
parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-mh-pr-23-815' AND docket_index IS NOT NULL;
```